

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/658,969	EDELMANN ET AL.
	Examiner San-ming Hui	Art Unit 1617

**All Participants:**

(1) San-ming Hui. (3) \_\_\_\_.  
 (2) Ms. Johanna Vecchia, applicant's representative. (4) \_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 7 December 2004

**Time:** \_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Ms. Vecchia informed the examiner that a continuation application was filed and no reply was filed in response to the communication mailed June 1, 2004.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)